

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/829,156	04/22/2004	Takashi Hasebe	08830.0018	5732
22852	7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			TRAN, LY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,156	HASEBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ly T. TRAN	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/04;2/4/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spehrley (USPN 4,751,528).

With respect to claims 1 and 5, Spehrley discloses an ink jet printer comprising:

- an ink heating device for heating an ink whose viscosity decreases as temperature increases (Column 1: line 5-25);
- a printing head for jetting an ink heated by the heating device onto a recording medium (fig.2: element 13);
- a carrying device (fig.2: element 11, 12, 14) for carrying the recording medium while supporting the recording medium to face a nozzle-plate of the printing head;
- a cooling device (Fig.2: element 28) for cooling the recording medium in an upstream side with respect to a position where an ink placed on the recording medium is cured, in a carrying direction of the recording medium by the carrying device.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,156 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

• the cooling device comprises a frigistor device (fig.2: element 28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Spehrley
 (USPN 4,751,528) in view of Hoshino (US.2004/0041893).

The applied reference has a common Assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer

Art Unit: 2853

in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Spehrley discloses the claimed invention except that cooling the medium by heat pump instead of peltier device. Hoshino shows that heat pump and peltier are equivalent structures known in the art. Therefore, because heat pump and peltier device were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the peltier device for the heat pump for the purpose of making the heat absorption portion contact with the recording medium at the image forming position.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2, 3, 6 and 7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 2 and 3 are allowable over prior art of record because at least prior art have not been found to anticipate or teach the cooling device and the ink heating device are connected to be capable of conducting heat, and the ink heating device heats an ink by utilizing a heat radiation which is generated from the cooling device by cooling the recording medium.

Claims 6 and 7 are allowable over prior art of record because at least prior art have not been found t anticipate or teach the cooling device cools the recording medium

Art Unit: 2853

between the cap member and the printing head at a time of image recording, and is removed from a position where the recording medium is cooled at a time of maintenance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 D.M. Barton (USPN 3,456,538) discloses the thermoelectric cooling units are supplied by De La Rue Frigistor Limited under the name Frigistor thermoelectric cooling module (Column 2: line 25-29)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/829,156

Art Unit: 2853

Page 6

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LT

March 23, 2006

STEPHEN MEIER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER